AQIP - It's NOT what you think!

Presenters: Bruce Moses & Claudia Tonihka

Participants 42

For items 1 – 5: Please indicate the degree to which you disagree or agree with the statement:					
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1) Information from this session will be useful in my work.	17	8	1		
2) The facilitator was knowledgeable of the topic.	25	1			
3) The format (roundtable discussion, interactive workshop, computer lab, etc.) was appropriate for the topic.	15	11			
4) The amount of information presented was appropriate for the session's length.	16	9	1		
5) I would recommend this session to others.	17	8			

For items 6 – 10: Please indicate the term (excellent—poor) that best describes your opinon:						
	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	N/A
6) Quality of content	18	8				
7) Relevance of content	19	7				
8) Value of activities	14	10	2			
9) Value of handouts	15	9	2			
10) Overall session rating	19	7				

11) My suggestion for improvement is…

- Discuss some of the current AQIP projects and their progress
- You have a great team in AQIP - adds to my optimum & excitement about the future of this area & the college!
- Great Job! (2)
- Good energy!
- More time for interactive activities
- Did not want to come to session but was curious, AQIP does pertain to my job!

See 2nd page for recap using alternate evalution form.

NWACC
Training Evaluation Recap – Celebrate Learning for Staff – June 9, 2009
AQIP – It's NOT what you think!
Presenters: Bruce Moses & Claudia Tonihka

Materials	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	No Opinion	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. The presentation materials were well organized and professional in quality.				4	2
2. The power point presentation enhanced my understanding of the topics.		2		2	2
3. The presentation exercise materials were well designed to enhance my understanding of the topics.				4	2
Topics					
1. The topics addressed in this presentation were appropriate for my needs.			1	3	2
2. The time provided for the presentation was adequate to address the topics presented.			1	2	3
Facilitation					
1. The presentation was well led and facilitated.				2	4
2. The presentation exercises enhanced my ability to apply new knowledge in the future.			1	2	3
3. There were sufficient opportunities for discussion during this presentation.			1	3	2
Overall Value					
1. The overall quality of the presentation met or exceeded my expectations.			1	1	4
2. This presentation was a valuable learning experience.			1	1	4
3. As a result of this presentation, I feel more confident in my ability to engage in the continuous improvement and accreditation efforts at NWACC.			1	2	3

Comments:

- The ideas presented were great and if we felt comfortable with sharing our ideas it would be effective. Unfortunately up to this point our ideas as the "grunts" have not been valued and before many people will jump in, there will have to be some changes in the way everyone is viewed. Leadership needs to reinforce that everyone's ideas are important.
- Bruce Moses is a very energetic and interesting facilitator.
- The clock needs to be hidden – makes time drag by.
- The presentation/presenters were great and they are passionate about AQIP but we are truly still in the CI stage and we are trying to apply these elements with limited resources and time.
- Last week we became aware of a process which has a "Gap" in it. So we'll develop a team for Continuous Improvement. Thank You!! J